

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,262	09/25/2003	James M. Walker	DQIP-144	6605
7590 02/25/2005			EXAMINER	
ALAN C. BRYSON BROWNING BUSHMAN, P.C. SUITE 1800 5718 WESTHEIMER			PICKARD, ALISON K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
HOUSTON, T	X 77057		DATE MAILED: 02/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1)				$\wedge \Lambda$
Examiner Aiston K. Pickard 3676 37			Application No.	Applicant(s)
Alson K. Pickard - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Statesons of them may be avables under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed be considered them as the construction of the construction of the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed be considered timely. If the ported for reply sepodied become, he maximum statutory period vall eagles of 10° MONTH's form the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply a sepodied become, he maximum statutory period vall eagles of 10° MONTH's form the mailing date of this communication. Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.SC, § 133). Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.SC, § 133). Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.SC, § 133). Falluse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.SC, § 133). The set of the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4.8.10.14.16.17.20.24.26-28.30.33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is are vithdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 1.4.8.10.14.16.17.20.24.26-28.30.33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4c) Claim(s) 1.4.8.10.14.16.17.20.24.26-28.30.33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4b) Claim(s) 1.4.8.10.14.16.17.20.24.26-28.30.33	01		10/671,262	WALKER ET AL.
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address—eriod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evaliable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 53 (7 FR 1.13(6).) In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 13 (7 FR 1.13(6).) In no event, however, may a reply be sinely filed after 5X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, 13 (7 FR 1.13 (6)). It is not reply in specified to reply its application whose, the maxima date of the communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication, even it finely (30) days will be considered from the mailing date of this communication. 10	٢	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. Extractions of time may be available to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is pecified abover, the maximum statutory period will apply and use spile status to be some ARANDOFO (30 LS, C. § 133). Failurs to reply within the set or extended period for reply is period will apply and use spile status to be some ARANDOFO (30 LS, C. § 133). A provided them adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(2). Extractions of the set of time may be available to the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search of the market of timely filled, may reduce any search of the market of the mark			Alison K. Pickard	3676
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.15(6), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Extensions of them may be a replaced under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.15(6), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed Extensions of the may be a serial under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.15(6), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed If the period for reply appendied above a leas than thirty (30) days, a reply within the satulatory provided may be an explainment of reply is appendied above, the maximum statutory pandow that pays and will explore X(6) (MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication, even it timely (30) days will be considered from the part of the pays and will explore X(6) (MONTRS from the mailing date of this communication, even it timely (30) days will be considered from the pays and the pays and the pays and (40) of the pays reduce any event plants from adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.74(6). **Total This action is FINAL.** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on \$\frac{15}{2}\$ November 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL.** 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$\infty\$ November 2004. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 4b) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.46}{3.64}\$ \$\infty\$ \$\in			ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply the timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provisio				
1)	THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mail		eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28.30-33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Status	•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28.30-33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	November 2004.	
3				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. isposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28.30-33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	,	·—		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28.30-33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail				
4) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28.30-33 and 35-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-882) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail)ionociti	on of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)				·
Claim(s) 35-40 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,4-8,10-14,16,17,20-24,26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)				n the application.
Claim(s) 1.4-8.10-14.16.17.20-24.26-28 and 30-33 is/are rejected. 7)			awn from consideration.	
Tolaim(s) is/are objected to. Solaim(s) is/are objected to.	•	· · ——	00.0011	
Solicition Sol		·	30-33 is/are rejected.	•
pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)		•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Applicati	on Papers		•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ ad	cepted or b) objected to b	ov the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d) 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)[, ,
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	riarity u	inder 35 II S.C. & 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			in priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 2 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	a)اِ	<u> </u>	ata hawa hawa wasabuud	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			-	received in this National Stage
tachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 0			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	~ S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	\ttachment	(s)		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
			Paper No(s))/Mail Date
·			3) Solution of Inf	ormai Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/671,262

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 17, 20-24, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17, line 4, "the metal sealing ring" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 28 and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stephenson (4,635,967).

Stephenson discloses a metal sealing ring comprising a steel body 32 with a bore, a first frusto-conical outer sealing surface 42, a second frusto-conical sealing surface 42, and first and second corrosion-resistant alloy inlays. The sealing ring is capable of sealing against respective inner surfaces (having inlays) of a first and a second body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/671,262 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 4-6, 11, 12, 17, 20-22, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson in view of Applicants' APA.

Stephenson discloses a method of sealing and a metal sealing ring between first and second tubular members each having bores and conical inner sealing surfaces that are urged together to engage the sealing ring. The sealing ring comprising a steel body 32 with a bore, a first frustoconical outer sealing surface 42, a second frusto-conical sealing surface 42, and first and second corrosion-resistant alloy inlays. Although Stephenson discloses the body can be made from an alloy, Stephenson does not specifically state carbon steel or low alloy steel having the claimed expansion coefficient. Applicants admit that it is known to form a sealing body from carbon steel or low alloy steel having the claimed coefficient of expansion to provide a fluid tight seal under high pressure applications. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the body from carbon steel or low alloy steel as taught by Applicants to ensure a fluid tight seal under high pressure applications.

Regarding claims 4-6 and 20-22, Stephenson does not disclose the inlay thickness required by the claims. Using such dimensions is considered a design choice. It is not considered inventive to discover the workable or optimum ranges by routine experimentation. See In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the inlay thickness in the ranges required by the claims as a matter of choice in design.

Art Unit: 3676

7. Claims 7, 8, 13, 14, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson in view of APA as applied to claims 1, 12, and 17 above, and further in view of Tillman.

Stephenson does not disclose a coating over the inlays. Tillman teaches a sealing ring having conical sealing surfaces with inlays 31 and 32. Tillman teaches coating the surfaces and inlays with a corrosion-resistant material such as silver or a fluoropolymer to provide a tighter joint (see col. 2, lines 63-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make coat the inlays with the coating as taught by Tillman to provide a tighter joint and a better seal.

8. Claims 10, 16, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stephenson in view of APA as applied to claims 1, 12, and 17 above, and further in view of Sweeney (6,722,426).

Stephenson does not disclose that at least one of the inner sealing surfaces includes a back-up surface adjacent a primary surface that seals with at least one of the outer sealing surfaces. Sweeney (Fig. 4) teaches a sealing ring between first and second tubular members each having inner sealing surfaces that engage the sealing ring. Sweeney teaches forming one of the inner surfaces with a primary 19 surface and a back-up surface 21 that engages with an outer sealing surface of the ring in case the primary surface is damaged. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the back-up surface taught by Sweeney to ensure a seal even when the sealing surface of a tubular member is damaged.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,262 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 35-40 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 11-15-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument that Stephenson is not concerned with problems induced by thermal expansion is unpersuasive. The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). In this case, Stephenson discloses that the ring is made of a corrosion resistant alloy. Applicant admits that carbon steel is a corrosion resistant alloy suitable for sealing under high pressure.

The inlay dimensions are maintained as a design choice since no criticality has been associated with these dimensions.

Regarding Tillman, Tillman is being used for its teaching of a coating to form an improved joint. The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985). Further, corrosion resistance and reduced friction are inherent features of silver and fluoropolymer.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,262

Art Unit: 3676

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alison K. Pickard Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

AP